IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3374 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.H.WAGHELA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

---

CHANDUJ H KHANT

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

---

Appearance:

MR JAYANT P BHATT for Petitioners

MRS RV ACHARYA for Respondent No. 1

NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 2, 3

---

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.H.WAGHELA

Date of decision: 20/03/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. Restored on oral request.

#. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and learned AGP for the respondents.

#. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that, in view of the resolution dated 17.10.1988 of the Government, the prayer for equal pay for equal work does not survive. And as regards the claim of permanency in service and permanency benefits, the petitioners would like to make a representation before the respondents on the basis of the resolution of the Government dated 4.7.1973 at Annexure-C to the petition as also the long period of service put in by the petitioners. Obviously, if and when such representation is made the same may be considered sympathetically by the respondents and appropriate decision may be taken in accordance with law.

#. Making the above statements and with the observation as above, the learned counsel sought permission to withdraw the petition. Accordingly, the petition is not pressed for any relief and stands disposed. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

( D. H. WAGHELA, J. )

kks